

28 January 1972

Field Support Staff, OMS

Jack:

This is in pretty good shape and can probably go th
 [redacted] without going back to OMS for revision.

Like most of the OMS papers, however, it is completely undocumented, and you may want to send it back for source treatment. It's brief, but it covers the subject well -- and it's very well written. It has no Conclusions section, but it's so brief that I don't think it needs one. I've added some sub-heads to some of the sections. [redacted] told me yesterday, incidentally, that [redacted] has decided on a new head and sub-head format:

I. ^{chapter title} Subject -- centered

★ | Subject -- at the margin

● | Subject. -- Text continues on same line

To [redacted]

This seems quite thorough to me. There were also passages that were simply not pertinent (the example I had in mind was the characterization of [redacted])

I think it requires a methodology and sourcing as a minimum.

000231

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO:		2 Feb 72
ROOM	BUILDING	
<p>I have been through this. As [redacted] has indicated, no sources are specified, but I can hang that on OMS when we return the paper.</p> <p>I have asked for expansion & specifics at several points, but I think the paper is in good enough shape for final editing now.</p>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

000231 (47)

STAT

25X1

Page Denied

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied